

# TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE: CRITERIA FOR MANDATED VACCINES FOR CHILDCARE CENTER AND/OR SCHOOL ENTRY

---

February 22, 2006, 10:30 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.  
1730 Minor Avenue, Room 1507 (15th floor)  
Seattle, WA

## *Draft Notes*

---

Present: Kim Thorburn, Jo Hoffman, David Grossman, and Tara Wolff

*Questionnaire feedback from 3 TAG members: Ed Marcuse, Doug Diekema, and Diana Yu*

*Questionnaire and question feedback from 6 IAC members: Don Sloma, Rita Mell, Karen Wilkinson, Bev Young Reed., Janna Bardi, and Michele Murburg*

<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>Decision</b>	<b>For Action</b>
<b>Conflict of interest</b>	Kim Thorburn led this discussion.	No conflict of interest among TAG members	None
<b>Review of Epidemiological Data</b>	Jo Hoffman presented current data for Washington State.  No tetanus or diphtheria cases in Washington.  Washington's Pertussis rate is above the national average.	When there are multiple antigens in a dose, focus on the antigen associated with the disease of highest concern (e.g., rate, highest morbidity historically) for the review against the 9 criteria.  Pertussis selected for Tdap review.	Kim Thorburn to lead review of Tdap by focusing on pertussis.

<b>Review of feedback from IAC and TAG members</b>	<p>Discussed responses to the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Should the criteria be weighted? If so, what are your recommendations?</li> <li>2. Should all of the criteria apply to each antigen? If not, should some of the criteria be mandatory? If so which ones?</li> <li>3. How should we rate the criteria against the antigen? Should we use a scale or just pass/fail?</li> </ol>	<p>Reviewed feedback from 6 IAC members and 3 TAG members.</p> <p>Most members wanted all of the criteria applied to each antigen and for each criteria to be ranked on a scale. The TAG proceeded using this method to see how it would work.</p> <p>One parent brought up the issue of thimerosal. The TAG decided this fit under criteria 7.</p> <p>The TAG noted the need to balance qualitative and quantitative data when assessing criteria.</p>	None
<b>Criteria Review</b>	The 9 criteria were reviewed using feedback from TAG and IAC members.	<p>Responses to 7 of the 9 criteria could be rated using a Likert scale. Two of the 9 criteria required a nominal scale (yes/no). Some of the criteria wording was refined to make them clearer.</p>	Tara to redo criteria wording and add in new scales.
<b>Criteria Test</b>	The 9 adjusted criteria were quickly reviewed using the pertussis antigen.	<p>The adjusted criteria and scale seem to work well.</p> <p>Further test it using full TAG membership.</p>	Tara to ask the TAG members to re-test the criteria against the pertussis antigen using the newly worded criteria and scales.